

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/778,334	02/07/2001	Scott W. Huffer	9325-36	1473		
23973 759	90 02/19/2003					
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH			EXAMINER			
	ERRY STREETS		NOLAN, SANDRA M			
PHILADELPHI	A, PA 19103-6996		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1772	8		
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u></u>			
•	•	Application h		Applicant(s)	<b>*</b> -			
V 055- A-11- S		09/778,334		HUFFER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sandra M. No		1772				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess			
THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hon. , a reply within the statutory beriod will apply and will expiratute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 December 200	<u>2</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ (a)⊠	Claim(s)is/are allowed. 							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers	and/or election requ	irement.					
9) 🗆 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) 🔲 7	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ obj	ected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office	action.					
12) 🗌 🛚	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	ceived in Applicati	on No				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	al Bureau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a)).		age			
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).			
I	☐ The translation of the foreign languag cknowledgment is made of a claim for do							
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Application/Control Number: 09/778,334

**Art Unit: 1772** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claims

1. Pursuant to entry of the amendment in the response dated 17 December 2002 (Paper No. 7) following the 16 July office action (Paper No. 5), claims 1-26 are pending.

### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 20-24 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse as described in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Drawings

3. Note the enclosed form PTO-948.

### Rejections Maintained

- 4. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 13-19 as unpatentable over Zhang (US 5,616,400) in view of Kurth et al (US 5,548,005) and Dust et al (US 4,889,884), as set out in section 11 of Paper No.5, is maintained for reasons of record.
- 5. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 3 and 12 as unpatentable over Zhang in view of Kurth, Dust et al and Muggli et al (US 6,337,113), as expressed in section 12 of Paper No. 5, is maintained for reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No. 7 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

-Application/Control Number: 09/778,334

Art Unit: 1772

The arguments presented in Paper No. 7 will be responded to in the order in which they were presented.

On page 3, applicants argue that there is no motivation to combine the Kurth teachings with those of the other references because its "laundry list: of applications, which applicants say is recited at col. 8, lines 5-9, does not mention "flexible packaging".

However, Kurth's listing, at col. 8, lines 3+, recites coatings for plastic substrates. Since the Zhang packaging is plastic, coating it with the Kurth coatings is suggested by Kurth. Furthermore, since Zhang states, in its abstract, that its packaging contains "flexible films", the use of the Kurth coatings on flexible plastic films, such as that suggested by the combined references here, is suggested thereby.

Applicants argue, on page 3, that the Kurth coatings do not contain slip agents.

However, slip agents are well-known fillers in plastic and/or coating formulations. If applicants have objective evidence that the slip agents in their coatings are producing unexpected results, they are invited to make it of record.

On page 3, applicants argue that the use of e-beam cured coatings avoids the problem of slip agent migration.

However, avoidance of such migration would be a latent property attained when the coatings of Kurth are used on the films of Zhang, as suggested by the combination of references in the previous office action.

On page 4, applicants argue that Kurth is nonanalogous art because it does not suggest the use of its coatings on flexible packaging.

-Application/Control Number: 09/778,334

**Art Unit: 1772** 

However, as is discussed above, the use of Kurth's coatings on plastic substrates, such as Zhang's flexible films *is* suggested by the combined references.

On page 4, applicants argue that Kurth is outside of the inventors' field of endeavor.

However, the field of endeavor here is the packaging art, which art includes references that deal with plastic films and coatings thereon.

On page 4, applicants argue that Kurth relates to the field of paints, not flexible packaging.

However, as was explained earlier, the field of packaging included teachings that relate to coatings. Kurth refers to his compositions as "coatings" and "coating compositions". See col. 7, line 67 and col. 8, line 4, as well as Kurth's claims 20-22.

On page 4, applicants argue that claim 10 now recites fixed slip agents.

However, the fixing of slip agents in the coatings of Kurth would be a latent property found in the packaging materials suggested by the references as combined in Paper No. 5.

### Final Rejection

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1772

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner, Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 703/308-4251. The general fax number for the art unit is 703/305-5436. The fax number for after final communications is 703/872-9310. The receptionist answers 703/308-0661.

S. M. Nolan

Patent Examiner

Sil Nol.

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 09778334(8) 14 February 2003